

***Remarks***

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1-17 and 19-24 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 4-8, 10, and 12 being the independent claims. By the foregoing amendment, claims 1, 4-8, 10, 12, 19, 21, and 24 are sought to be amended, and claims 18 and 25 are cancelled. These changes are believed to introduce no new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested. Based on the above amendment and the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn.

***Objection to the Drawings:***

Replacement formal drawings were filed on 12/2/04 to address this rejection. According, Applicants request that this rejection be withdrawn.

***Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph***

Claim 25 was rejected for failing to have adequate written description for support in the specification. Claim 25 was canceled rendering this rejection moot.

***Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102***

Claims 1, 9, 11, and 17-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 6,489,688 to Terada *et al.* Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Claim 1 was amended to include the feature of claim 18, as filed, namely that *the multiple serial data ports and the multiple parallel data ports can be enabled and*

*disabled to provide a specific configuration for the transceiver.* The Office Action alleges that this feature in is column 6 of Terada. However, the mentioned portion of column 6, lines 27-41 makes no mention of disabling one or more ports. Accordingly, Terada does not teach each and every feature of Applicant's amended claim 1 and therefore does not anticipate claim 1.

Further, The Office Action correctly indicates that the mentioned column 6 of Terada teaches the operation of a daisy chain configuration." In the *daisy chain transfer*, data are transferred *from one output port to an input port of the next module, from an output port of the next module to an input port of the following next module, and so on from module to module.* ...FIGs. 6a and 6b show the connection method in the daisy chain transfer, and the modules M are connected in a *cascade connection* using the input port 11 and the output port 13 of each module M." (See, Terada, col. 6, lines 42-52. Accordingly, since the ports in Terada are daisy chained in a cascade manner, it would be impossible to disable one or more of the ports, because all ports after the disabled port would be cutoff, rendering the bus ineffective for data transfer.

Based on the above discussion, Terada does not teach each and every feature of claim 1, and therefore does not anticipate claim 1. Nor would it be feasible to modify Terada to teach the mentioned claim. Accordingly, claim 1 and its respective dependent claim 2-17, and 19-24 are allowable over the cited. art.

### ***Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103***

Claims 2 and 3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terada. Claim 2 and 3 depend from claim 1 and therefore are patentable over Terada for

the same reasons mentioned above for claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants request that this rejection be removed.

***Allowable Claims***

Claims 4-8, 10, and 12-16 were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and the intervening claims. The mentioned claims were amended as suggested, and therefore should now be allowable.

***Conclusion***

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn. Applicant believes that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment and Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Jeffrey T. Helvey  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 44,757

Date: 12/15/04

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934  
(202) 371-2600

JTH/JH/agj  
344879\_1.DOC



## Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.